UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,151	01/13/2005	Joachim Hasch	P27125	9119
	7590 08/29/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/521,151	HASCH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alexander Thomas	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 7-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18,20 and 21 is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,7-10,19,22 and 23 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	re withdrawn from consideration. d. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the B			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/27/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-10, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima ('762) in view of Sean et al ('167) and either Rionda et al

4,486,115 or the European patent document 0481941. The primary reference discloses a building board comprising a plurality of wood boards 4 which are disposed side by side and bonded together in multiple layers wherein interspaces are provided between the boards, some of the interspaces may be filled with insulating material; see column 2, line 59 through column 3, line 9 and column 4, lines 39-43. The insulating material may be provided in the outermost layer (claim 22); see column 1, lines 47-55. However, the primary reference does not disclose the use of OSB boards. Sean et al disclose the structural equivalence of wood and OSB boards; see column 1, lines 16-20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use OSB boards as the wood boards 4 in the product of the primary reference in view of the teaching of equivalence in Sean et al. With respect to the nail plates, Rionda et al and the European patent document disclose the use of nail plates to join together two layers of material by placing the nail plate between respective layers; see Figure 7 and column 3, lines 52-63 of Rionda et al and the Figures and Abstract of the European patent document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use nail plates to bond together the layers of boards in the product of the primary reference in view of the teachings in Rionda et al and the European patent document to provide a joint that is stable and resistant to shear forces. Regarding the position of the nail plates between particular layers (claim 23), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the nail plates between a second layer and a third layer of the product of Nakajima in order to provide the joint between these layers with the above noted stability and resistance to shear forces. Concerning claim 2, the examiner takes official notice of the

Application/Control Number: 10/521,151

Art Unit: 1794

fact that it is well-known in the laminate art to use mechanical means, such as screws, nails, etc. to attach together layers of material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these well-known fastener means to attach together the layers in the product of the combined prior art to provide a secure bonding together of the layers. Concerning the claimed sizes of the materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the product of the primary reference using boards of any size since a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art (claims 7-10). Regarding the use of a plastic mat in the building board (claims 4 and 23), the reference discloses the placement of a fabric layer in his board; see column 4, lines 39-43. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any well-known material, such as plastic fabric, as the fabric layer in the product of the primary reference since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. Concerning claim 23, Nakajima discloses that his "interposed material", i.e. the fabric layer, can be positioned "between at least two of the layers" dependent on its contemplated use; see column 2, lines 10-14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the fabric material between an outermost layer and a second layer in the product of Nakajima depending on the function of its contemplated use.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Thomas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794